

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/588,803	Applicant(s) MATSUMOTO, WATARU	
	Examiner RONALD BAUM	Art Unit 2439	

All Participants:

(1) RONALD BAUM.

(2) Po-We Chen.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2010

Time: 17:05

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

6/29/2010

Claims discussed:

8, 11, 12

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ronald Baum/

Examiner, Art Unit 2439

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed with applicant's representative - Mr. Chen, how the phrase 'opened information' in claims 8, 11 and 12 is broad insofar as it applies to the public communications path that the information is transferred between the transmitter and receiving apparatus. Agreement was reached for amending via examiner amendment..